

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/599,477	LUNNER ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Vanessa Velasquez	1793	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Vanessa Velasquez, Examiner.

(2) John Smith-Hill, Applicant's Representative.

**Date of Interview:** 5 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

21-28 and 34

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's Representative agreed to amend claim 34 to replace "above 1200oC" with "of 1200-1300oC" as proposed by the Examiner. It was also agreed upon to cancel claims 21-28, drawn to non-elected inventions.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vanessa Velasquez/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** approx. 12:30 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)